

# Differentiability of computable monotone functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Alex Galicki

University of Auckland

June, 2015

# Known results on the unit interval

## Theorem (BMN '15, FKN '14)

$z \in [0, 1]$  is computably random

$\iff$  every computable Lipschitz  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $z$

$\iff$  every computable monotone  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $z$ .

Main idea was to use the following correspondences:

1 computable martingales  $\leftrightarrow$  computable measures

$$\mu(\sigma) = 2^{-|\sigma|} M(\sigma)$$

2 computable measures  $\leftrightarrow$  computable monotone functions on the unit interval

$$f(x) = \mu([0; x])$$

# Lipschitz functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$

## Theorem (Rademacher, 1919)

*Suppose  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a Lipschitz function. There exists a null set, such that  $f$  is differentiable outside it.*

## The converse question

Let  $N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a null set. Is there a Lipschitz function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  that is not differentiable inside  $N$ ?

- ▶ positive for  $m = n = 1$ ;
- ▶ for  $n \geq 2$  there is a null set  $N$  such that every Lipschitz  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at some point in  $N$  (Preiss 1980);
- ▶ A comprehensive answer has been given/announced very recently, not all relevant results have been published yet (Alberti, Csörnyei, Preiss, Speight, Jones, etc);
- ▶ In general, the converse holds if and only if  $m \geq n$ .

# Monotone functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$

## Definition

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a function. We say  $f$  is *monotone* if

$$\langle f(x) - f(y), x - y \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

## Theorem (Mignot, 1976)

*If  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is monotone, then it is almost everywhere differentiable.*

The converse question has not been studied.

# Main result

What we have:

Theorem (Galicki & Turetsky, 2014)

$z \in [0, 1]^n$  is computably random

$\implies$  every computable Lipschitz  $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $z$ .

What we will show:

Theorem (GT 2014, G 2015)

$z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is computably random

$\iff$  every computable monotone  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is differentiable at  $z$ .

# Minty Parameterization

Minty showed that the so called Cayley transformation

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \text{ defined by } \Phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(y + x, y - x)$$

transforms the graph of a monotone function into a graph of a 1-Lipschitz function.

## Proposition (A)

*Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be monotone. Then  $(u + I)$  and  $(u + I)^{-1}$  are monotone and  $(u + I)^{-1}$  is 1-Lipschitz.*

*Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and define  $f = (u + I)^{-1}$  and  $\hat{z} = u(z) + z$ . The following two are equivalent:*

- 1  $u$  is differentiable at  $z$ , and
- 2  $f$  is differentiable at  $\hat{z}$  and  $f'(\hat{z})$  is invertible.

# The “easy” direction ( $\implies$ )

## Proposition (A) repeated

Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and define  $f = (u + I)^{-1}$  and  $\hat{z} = u(z) + z$ . The following two are equivalent:

- 1  $u$  is differentiable at  $z$ , and
- 2  $f$  is differentiable at  $\hat{z}$  and  $f'(\hat{z})$  is invertible.

Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a monotone computable function and let  $z \in [0, 1]^n$  be computably random.

- ▶  $g = u + I$  is monotone and computable and
- ▶  $f = g^{-1}$  is 1-Lipschitz and computable.
- ▶ If we can show that  $\hat{z} = g(z)$  is computably random, then  $f$  is differentiable at  $\hat{z}$ .
- ▶ By Proposition (A), if  $f'(\hat{z})$  is invertible, then  $g$  is differentiable at  $z$ .

## The hard direction ( $\Leftarrow$ )

Given  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  not computably random, we need to find a computable monotone function not differentiable at  $z$ .

### On the real line

- ▶ computable martingale  $M$  succeeding on  $Z$
- ▶ we define computable measure on  $[0, 1]$  by  $\mu(\sigma) = 2^{-|\sigma|}M(\sigma)$
- ▶ let  $f(x) = \mathbf{cdf}_\mu(x) = \mu([0; x])$ .

To make  $f$  both Lipschitz and monotone, the idea was to make  $M$  bounded from below and from above while still not converging on  $Z$ .



# Optimal transport

- ▶ we want to transfer resources from one location  $X$  to another  $Y$
- ▶ we model this by considering probability measures:  $\mu$  on  $X$  and  $\nu$  on  $Y$
- ▶ the cost of transporting  $x \in X$  to  $y \in Y$  is modelled by some cost function  $c : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- ▶ we are interested in the functions  $T$  that push  $\mu$  onto  $\nu$ , that is  $\nu(A) = \mu(T^{-1}(A))$  for all  $A$ . In symbols,  $\nu = T\#\mu$ .

## Monge's optimal transportation problem

Minimize  $I[T] = \int_X c(x, T(x))d\mu$  over the set of all measurable  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\nu = T\#\mu$ .

# Reinterpreting the one-dimensional case

## Fact (already known to Hoeffding and Fréchet)

Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with respective cumulative distribution functions  $F$  and  $G$ . Assume  $\mu$  is atomless.

Then  $T = G^{-1} \circ F$  is an optimal transport map (that transports  $\mu$  onto  $\nu$ ) with respect to the quadratic cost  $c(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ .

- ▶ if we consider  $\mu(\sigma) = 2^{-|\sigma|} M(\sigma)$ , and  $\lambda$ , the optimal transport map  $T$  from  $\mu$  onto  $\lambda$  is given by the cdf of  $\mu$ .

## The idea we'd like to exploit

- ▶  $z$  not computably random  $\rightarrow$
- ▶ a martingale  $M$  diverging on  $Z \rightarrow$
- ▶ a measure  $\mu_M$  “oscillating” around  $z \rightarrow$
- ▶ a transfer map  $T$  from  $\mu_M$  onto  $\lambda$  not differentiable at  $z$ .

# Optimal transport in higher dimensions

## Theorem (Knott-Smith '87, Brenier '87-'91, McCann '95)

Let  $\mu, \nu$  be (nice) probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists a unique gradient of a convex function  $\nabla\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\nabla\phi$  is the optimal transport map from  $\mu$  onto  $\nu$  with respect to the quadratic cost.

## Theorem (Volume distortion, McCann '97 ??)

Let  $\phi$  be a convex function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose it is twice differentiable at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda(\partial\phi(B_r(x)))}{\lambda(B_r(x))} = \det D_A^2\phi(x).$$

- ▶ in our case, the limit on the left is actually  $D_\lambda\mu(z)$ .
- ▶ Consequence: if  $D_\lambda\mu(z)$  does not exist, then  $\nabla\phi$  is not differentiable at  $z$ .

# Outline of the proof

- ▶ define a computable martingale  $M$  diverging on  $Z$
- ▶ show that  $D_{\lambda\mu_M}(z)$  does not exist
- ▶ show that the optimal monotone transport map from  $\mu_M$  onto  $\lambda$  is computable

# Few points regarding the proof

## Characterisation of computable randomness

$z \in [0, 1]^n$  is computably random  $\iff$  every absolutely continuous computable probability measure on  $[0, 1]^n$  is differentiable at  $z$

## Theorem (Effective Brenier's Theorem)

*Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two absolutely continuous computable probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there is a computable convex function  $\phi$  such that  $\nabla\phi$  is the optimal monotone transportation map from  $\mu$  onto  $\nu$ .*

A trouble: this only gives us an almost everywhere computable monotone function!

## The last ingredient of the proof for the “hard” direction

- ▶ we need  $\nabla\phi$  to be computable, not just a.e. computable
- ▶ ensuring Hölder continuity of  $\nabla\phi$  would do
- ▶ Caffarelli’s regularity theory is a series of results of the form: given “nice” properties of  $\mu, \nu$  ensure some continuity properties of  $\phi$

### Theorem (Caffarelli)

*Let  $\phi$  be an Aleksandrov solution of*

$$\det D^2\phi = h.$$

*If  $h$  is bounded from above and below by some positive constants, then  $\phi \in C^{1,\alpha}$  for some universal exponent  $\alpha$ .*

In our case the equation is  $\det D^2\phi = D_\lambda\mu$ . The idea is to make our martingale bounded from below and from above, not converging on  $Z$  in such a way as to ensure  $D_\lambda\mu(z)$  does not exist.

# Monge-Ampère equation

A general form is

$$\det D^2\phi(x) = F(x, \phi(x), \nabla\phi(x))$$

McCann showed that under certain conditions a special case of this equation holds a.e.

## Theorem (G 2015)

*$z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is computably random  $\iff$  the following equation holds for all computable absolutely continuous probability measures (on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )  $\mu$*

$$\det D^2\phi_\mu(z) = D_\lambda\mu(z).$$

*Where  $\phi_\mu$  is the optimal transport from  $\mu$  onto  $\lambda$ .*

# Effective Aleksandrov Theorem

The result for monotone functions provides also the “converse” direction for the effective Aleksandrov Theorem.

## Theorem (G 2015)

$z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is computably random  $\iff$  every computable convex function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is twice differentiable at  $z$ .



# Remaining questions

- ▶ the converse direction for the Effective Rademacher Theorem
- ▶ polynomial setting
- ▶ various natural questions concerning computability and theory of optimal transport:
  - ▶ computability, algorithmic randomness and Monge-Ampère equation
  - ▶ polynomial time version of Brenier's theorem